SEP 0 7 2010 w

Applicant:

Fax:

Appn. Title:

## In the United States Patent and Trademark Office

Fin DA

Serial Namber: 10/820,561 )
Filing Date: 4/8/2004 )

4/8/2004 ) Examiner: Armando Rodriguez Tong Zhang )

Single-Mode Operation and Frequency )
Conversions for Solid-State Lasers )

571-273-8300 (Attn: Office of Petitions)

Fax via computer on September 2, 2010 Salt Lake City, UT 84115

**GAU: 2828** 

Mail Stop Petition COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

> 09/08/2010 JADDO1 00000051 10820561 01 FC:2453

Renewed Petition under 37 CFR (b)

810.00 OP

Sir:

Revival of the above-abandoned application is respectfully petitioned under 37 CFR § 1.137(b). As explained below, the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of this petition was unintentional. An early grant of this petition is respectfully requested.

In both the Office Action dated 08/29/2008, and the final Action dated 07/15/2009, the Examiner committed an error of citing an irrelevant prior art reference due to misunderstanding about the claim term "the thin gain". In his reply filed October 13, 2009, Applicant provided detailed explanation that the citation of reference was improper. In his petition of March 15, 2010 in response to the Notice of Abandonment of 03/10/10, Applicant mentioned that if the Advisory Action of January 22, 2010 sent to Applicant timely or it was a regular Office action, the above reissue patent application should not have been gone through this petition process. At the same time, in the petition Applicant again raised the improperness of the citation of reference and pointed out that the examiner should have removed the finality of the action, and reset a new period for Applicant to reply to the office action, under MPEP 711.06. Applicant noted that a similar mistake was committed in the

parent case of this application and the previous examiner did remove the finality of an office action and reset the period for response, under MPEP 711.06.

1 P P P

The Examiner failed to do so, and a Notice of Abandonment was mailed on March 10, 2010. Applicant timely filed a petition under on March 15, 2010 requesting withdrawal of the holding of abandonment. The petition was denied on July 8, 2010. The instant petition with the required fee under Rule 1.137(b) is being timely filed within two months from the denial date. The entire delay being unintentional, Applicant respectfully requests the grant of this petition.

Finally in the Advisory Action of January 22, 2010, netitioner has been advis

Finally, in the Advisory Action of January 22, 2010, petitioner has been advised to file an amendment with all the claims (1-15) and follow the guidelines of 37 CFR 1.173. He has done that in

his last reply filed February 16, 2010 and believed that all claims are in condition for allowance.

Respectfully,

Tong Zha

Tong Zhang, Applicant Pro Se 474 E. Lambourne Ave., # 3 Salt Lake City, UT 84115

t\_zhang50@yahoo.com (801) 359-4560